

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/085,824		02/27/2002	David K. Brown	938-4	2396
28249	7590	10/21/2004		EXAMINER	
		RRESE, LLP	HUYNH, BA		
333 EARLE OVINGTON BLVD. UNIONDALE, NY 11553				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
01/101/211	, .,.			2179	
				DATE MAILED: 10/21/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/085,824	BROWN, DAVID K.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ba Huynh	2179				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS a, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	—· s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 27 February 2002 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	e: a) \square accepted or b) \square objection of \square objection acceptation of \square objection is required if the drawing(s) in	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. Is have been received in Appli rity documents have been rec u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No reived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)		. \				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/25/03. S. Patent and Trademark Office.		mary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/085,824

Art Unit: 2179

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - As for claim 13, line 1: The phrase "the one or more operations" lacks clear antecedent basis.
 - As for claim 14, line 1: The phrase "the display command" lacks clear antecedent basis.
 - As for claim 15, line 1: The phrase "said pop-up text menu" lacks clear antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/085,824

Art Unit: 2179

4. Claims 1, 2, 5-7, 10-13, 16, 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US patent #6,590,586 (Swenton-Wall et al).

Page 3

- As for claim 1: Swenton-Wall et al teach a computer implemented system and corresponding method for interacting with a slide-show presentation having a plurality of slides (abstract), comprising the means/steps for:
 - retrieving a slide from among the plurality of slides from a memory storage device (4:43-56; 6:22-53), displaying the slide in a first resolution (fig. 3A), performing one or more operations selected from a group consisting of displaying a first window having text corresponding to the display device (fig. 4), displaying a second window having an enlarge image of the slide in a second resolution (fig. 3B, 4), and simultaneously displaying the plurality of slides in a third window in a third resolution (fig. 3A, 5:35 6:12).
- As for claims 2, 13: The one or more operations are performed in response to a display command invoked by a user (5:36-40).
- As for claims 5, 16: A slide can be selected to display at different small, medium, and large resolution (5:46-54; 5:66 6:12).
- As for claims 6, 17: The plurality of slides are displayed in thumbnail-sketch format (fig. 3).
- As for claim 7: Each of the slides is associated with text (5:4-5).
- As for claims 10, 12: Swenton-Wall et al teach a computer implemented method for interacting with a slide show having a plurality of slides, comprising the steps of:

Application/Control Number: 10/085,824 Page 4

Art Unit: 2179

retrieving a slide from a memory and displaying the slides at a first resolution (fig. 3A, 6:22-53),

displaying a plurality of command icons for navigation and display functions (e.g., the menu bar 202, icons 318, 326, and the interactive slides themselves), displaying a first window displaying text corresponding to the displayed slide in response to a first command (fig. 4),

displaying a second window having an enlarged portion of the display slide at a second resolution (5:46-6:12),

displaying a plurality of the slides in a third window at a third resolution (fig. 3A, 5:46-6:12; 6:22-53).

- As for claim 11: The slides can be accessed sequentially or non-sequentially (5:35 – 6:53).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 3, 4, 8, 9, 14, 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Swenton-Wall et al.
 - As for claims 3, 14: The display command is performed via one of a corresponding command icon displayed on the display device concurrent with the displayed slide

Application/Control Number: 10/085,824

Art Unit: 2179

and a drop-down text menu (5:9-12, 35-46; 6:39-47). Swenton-Wall fails to clearly teach the pop-up menu. However, Official notice is taken that implementation of pop-up menu is well known in the art of GUI. It would have been obvious to one of skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to implement the well known pop-up menu to Swenton-Wall. Motivation of the combining is for the apparent advantage of command input since the pop-up menu would be displayed at the cursor location.

Page 5

- As for claims 4, 15: In light of the combining the pop-up text menu is accessed via a computer pointing device (5:36-48; 6:31-33).
- As for claims 8, 9: A slide can be selected from a menu of titles 608, each title corresponds to a slide (6:27-31). Swenton-Wall fails to clearly teach that the menu is a drop-down menu. However, Official notice is taken that implementation of drop-down menu is well known in the art (see Swenton-Wall teaching of drop-down menu). It would have been obvious to one of skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to implement the well known drop-down menu to Swenton-Wall's teaching of menu 608. Motivation of the combine is for saving display estate.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ba Huynh whose telephone number is (703) 305-9794 (after 10/20/04: (571) 272-4138). The examiner can normally be reached on Mon Fri.

Art Unit: 2179

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Herndon can be reached on (703) 308-5186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ba Huynh

Primary Examiner

AU 2179 10/17/04

> BAHUYNY PRIMARY EXAMINED